

Country fact sheet: Belgium



1. Total greenhouse gas emissions

Figure 1: Left hand side: Total greenhouse gas emissions (excl. international aviation) 1990-2018 (index 1990 = 100 %). Right hand side: Greenhouse gas emissions by sector¹ – historical emissions 1990-2017, projections 2018-2030 (Mt CO₂-eq).





¹ The sectors in the figure correspond to the following IPCC sectors: Energy supply: 1A1, 1B and 1C. Energy use in manufacturing industries: 1A2. Industrial processes and product use: 2. Transport: 1A3. Other energy use: 1A4, 1A5 and 6. Agriculture: 3. Waste: 5. International aviation: memo item.

² Excluding international aviation, CO₂ from domestic aviation and NF₃.

2. ETS emissions




Figure 3: ETS emissions 2005-2018 (Mt CO₂-eq).³

3. Emissions in Effort Sharing sectors





Figure 4: Left hand side: Emissions and targets under the Effort Sharing Decision/ Effort Sharing Regulation 2018, 2020 and 2030 as percentage change from 2005. Right hand side: Emissions, annual emission allocations (AEAs) and accumulated surplus/ deficit of AEAs under the Effort Sharing Decision 2013-2020 (Mt CO₂-eq).

³ The scope of ETS was extended from 2013. To reflect the current scope of ETS, estimates made by EEA are included in the figures from 2005 to 2012. The estimates cover only emissions from stationary installations.



4. Land use, land use change and forestry

Figure 5: Reported and accounted emissions and removals from LULUCF (Mt CO₂-eq.)⁴

Reported quantities under the Kyoto Protocol for Belgium show net removals of, on average, -0.6 Mt CO_2 -eq for the period 2013 to 2017. In this regard, Belgium contributes with 0.2% to the annual average sink of -411.9 Mt CO_2 -eq of the EU-28. Accounting for the same period shows net credits of, on average, -0.1 Mt CO_2 -eq, which corresponds to 0.1% of the EU-28 accounted sink of -111.9 Mt CO_2 -eq. Reported removals and accounted credits show slightly increasing trends.

Highest reported quantities are removals by Forest Management. Emissions by Deforestation are still prominent while removals by Afforestation/Reforestation play a minor role in the overall emission budget of the LULUCF sector. Increasing trends of emissions by Deforestation are partly compensated by increasing removals by Afforestation/Reforestation.

Debits by Deforestation dominate the accounts and are in near balance with combined credits by Afforestation/Reforestation and Forest Management, resulting in a small amount of total credits.

⁴The differences between reported and accounted emissions from LULUCF under the Kyoto Protocol are described in the '*explanatory note on LULUCF – accounted and reported quantities under the Kyoto Protocol*'.

Data sources

Figure 1: Annual European Union greenhouse gas inventory 1990–2017 (EEA greenhouse gas data viewer: https://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/data/data-viewers/greenhouse-gases-viewer). Approximated EU greenhouse gas inventory 2017 (European Environment Agency). Member States national projections, reviewed by the European Environment Agency.

Figure 2: Verified ETS emissions abstracted from European Union Transaction Log 21.10.2019 (EEA ETS data viewer: <u>https://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/dashboards/emissions-trading-viewer-1</u>). ESD data from European Commission: *Commission Implementing Decision (EU) on greenhouse gas emissions for each Member State for the year 2017 covered by Decision No 406/2009/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council* (forthcoming).

Figure 3: abstract from European Union Transaction Log 21.10.2019 (EEA ETS data viewer: <u>https://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/dashboards/emissions-trading-viewer-1</u>).

Figure 4: European Commission: Commission Implementing Decision (EU) on greenhouse gas emissions for each Member State for the year 2017 covered by Decision No 406/2009/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council (forthcoming). Approximated EU greenhouse gas inventory 2017 (European Environment Agency). Member States national projections, reviewed by the European Environment Agency.

Figure 5: European Commission based on data accounted and reported by Member States under the Kyoto Protocol.